



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/761,040	01/16/2001	Matti Salmi	1173-010076-US (PAR)	4245
10868	7590	01/03/2012		
Perman & Green, LLP 99 Hawley Lane Stratford, CT 06614			EXAMINER MESFIN, YEMANE	
			ART UNIT 2444	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/03/2012	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 09/761,040	Applicant(s) SALMI ET AL.	
	Examiner YEMANE MESFIN	Art Unit 2444	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) YEMANE MESFIN. (3) ____.

(2) Joseph V. Gamberdell, Jr. (Reg. # 44,695). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 21 December 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
 ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☒ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 12, 14 and 21-23.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

In an attempt to advance prosecution of this instant application, the examiner contacted applicant's representative and discussed examiner proposed amendment to the currently recited broad claims to read inline with the written disclosure of the application to amend the claims to clearly define a mobile to mobile multimedia messaging (MMS) over a wireless communication network along with the defining of parameters of a multimedia message and formation/supplementing of a presentation file in SMIL format and further discussed a potential amendment to the respective apparatus and terminal device claims to positively comprise/recite at least one hardware component in order to clearly place the claims to fall within the meaning of a machine as one of the four statutory categories of invention (process, machine, article of manufacture or a composition of matter). However, applicant did not agree with the proposed amendment, thus, no progress was made.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Yemane Mesfin/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2444	
--	--